RECEIVED

2559

2007 FEB 20 PM 3: 34 Anne M. Grim INDEPENDENT REGULATORY

REVIEW COMMISSION

1240 E. Schuylkill Rd Pottstown, PA 19465

Bureau of Dog Law Enforcement Attn. Mary Bender 2301 N. Cameron St. Harrisburg,, PA 17110

Dear Mrs Bender,

I am against changing the current Dog Law because of media promoted hysteria. The current law, when enforced has closed the bad kennels and changed many others for the better without having the obvious agenda of closing Pennsylvania taxpaying businesses (or taxpayers hobbies). The people behind Governor Rendell have this agenda.

The current Dog Law is sufficient to close the bad kennels, that are what the public would call a puppymill, and will shut illegal kennels down. They call for clean, safe quarters and healthy dogs and puppies. Anymore would be against the PA. Constitution's right to own blobeutiens for public constions and then they get the funds from sering

The proposed Dog Law changes are aimed at closing all kennels. Governor Rendell is so bold as to say in his speech that he is targeting the Amish who are the most fundamentally Christian people there are. I cannot believe these people have it in then to be cruel and education has again and again upgraded their facilities.

Is it not strange that Michael Wolf, who had his kennel license taken away never had his dogs taken away nor was there follow up to see if he came down to a legal limit? Was this so he could be the current administration's rallying cry?

This at the same time that Governor Rendell stopped the Dog Law Advisory Board from meeting. No one can think this is a coincidence. I am sure the donations and adoptions have poured in from the media coverage.

Now the groups that generously gave to Governor Rendell's reelection campaign, want to have the lucrative job of getting paid to inspect kennels, getting to search for dogs to seize, getting to charge board to keep the dogs in crates under state law minimums until the hearing before their fellow swat team members, getting to release info and horrid, exaggerated stories to the media for public donations and then they get the funds from selling the confiscated animals since the accused kennel owner will surely be found guilty in a noncourt setting in front of this same group. Since many humane societies in this state are privately owned and all pay no license

fees or taxes, this is the best money making plan that any person on the planet could conceive. Let someone else do the work, steal it and triple your profits.

What happened to due process of law in Pennsylvania? I am sure that this will end up costing the taxpayers money since it, also sounds very against the constitution and some group will eventually fight it. It already is costing us money since the Dog Law fund cannot cover all these new salaries and high priced prosecutors. \$15,000 to upgrade each dog warden just the first year alone.

These thousands of dollars should be used to shut down crack houses not Pennsylvania businesses. How ridiculous do we look when illegal activities are happening but we are going after licensed and inspected kennels?

How do we look when we close a business because it can't prove that it walked a dog for 20 minutes even though the dog is in a 20 foot indoor/outdoor pen? Or they have 20 toy dogs in their house and a fenced in backyard to romp together in. And if the person has just 20 dogs with an occasional litter, you are talking almost seven hours a day that the owner, who probably works a fulltime job, must walk their dogs in order to meet the new law. This is on top of the regular cleaning and feeding chores that a kennel has on a daily basis.

Most small commercial kennels have no hired help and spend 8–10 hours in their kennels now. Show kennels are just the fancier working around soccer games, grooming and the family. They can not afford to hire a dog walker and most will drop their license and go underground. Since it is illegal to limit the number of pets a person in Pennsylvania can have, as long as they license the individual dogs and stay under 26 at a given time they will violate no law by doing so. Is this what we want to do?

The changes are also written by someone who never did daily hands on chores. How many breeders were consulted? Filling out forms for two hours a day will not insure that the item checked was done-looking in the water pans will tell the inspector that. I know small show breeders and rescues that will not be able to keep their beloved dogs as well as many reputable commercial kennels. Is this our goal? Do we eliminate all chicken farms and pig ranches next? It is obvious that stopping all breeding is the hidden agenda and then all animal usage.

Why is a 14 day quarantine mandatory for a kennel getting a litter in from the co-owner's house? In fourteen days a puppy not being handled will get to be shy. Why can an individual not sell a litter to a kennel or pet store. Home raised pups are preferred over kennel raised ones and many individuals find themselves overwhelmed by the litter so they would all end

up yet again at the same humane societies that seem to be the only ones profiting by the New Dog Law.

Do not think this is the puppymill bill as it is not aimed at just commercial kennels but at our constitutional rights to own pets. It is aimed at all of us since coowners, rescues and everyone will be under the new police state that Rendell recommends.

Yes some rescues should be monitored but an individual housing two dogs at a time in their home for a rescue should not be required to meet kennel requirements, just like a show breeder raising pups in their bedroom could never pass the non porous surface or kennel requirements. More stringent laws are not the answer. Better enforcement of current laws is the answer. Stealing a breeders stock and pets is not the answer. Removing the license and setting them up with a timetable for reduction in the dogs while monitored is the answer.

The uproar being heard should be listened to and all of us must tell the governor that we will not support his changes.

Sincerely, Anne M. Grim 610-327-0397